arXiv:1511.02598v1 [math.AG] 9 Nov 2015

RATIONAL CURVES OF DEGREE FOUR WITH TWO INNER GALOIS POINTS

SATORU FUKASAWA

Abstract. We characterize plane rational curves of degree four with two or more inner Galois points. A computer verifies the existence of plane rational curves of degree four with three inner Galois points. This would be the first example of a curve with exactly three them. Our result implies that Miura's bound is sharp for rational curves.

1. Introduction

Let the base field K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$ and let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be an irreducible plane curve of degree $d \geq 4$. For a point $P \in C$, if the function field extension $K(C)/\pi_P^* K(\mathbb{P}^1)$ induced by the projection π_P from P is Galois, then the point P is said to be (inner) *Galois* for C. This notion was introduced by Hisao Yoshihara ([3, 7]). We denote by $\delta(C)$ the number of Galois points which are smooth points of C. It is a natural problem: *What is $\delta(C)$?* If $p = 0$ and C is smooth, Yoshihara and Miura determined $\delta(C)$ completely ([3, 7]). However, this problem would be difficult in general. For example, only six (only two! if $p = 0$) types of curves with $\delta(C) \geq 2$ are known (see the table in [8]).

Throughout this note, we assume that $p \neq 2, 3$, $d = 4$ and C is rational. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to C$ be the normalization. Note that the morphism $\pi_P \circ \varphi$, where $P \in C$ is smooth and Galois, has only tame ramification under the assumption that $p \neq 3$ and $d = 4$ ([4, III. 7.2]). We use the same notation as in [2]. We denote by $\delta_2(C)$ (resp. $\delta_0(C)$) the number of Galois points $P \in C$ which are smooth points with $I_P(C, T_P C) = 4$ (resp. $I_P(C, T_P C) = 2$), where $I_P(C, T_P C)$ is the intersection multiplicity of C and the tangent line $T_P C$ at P. As noted in [2], $\delta(C) = \delta_2(C) + \delta_0(C)$. In this note, we characterize curves with $\delta(C) \geq 2$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H50.

Key words and phrases. Galois point, plane curve, rational curve, degree four.

The author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 25800002.

Proposition 1. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a plane rational curve of degree four. We have $\delta_2(C) \geq 2$ if and only if C is projectively equivalent to the curve which is the image of the morphism*

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2; (s:t) \mapsto (s^4 : s(s+t)^3 : t^4).$$

In this case, $\delta(C) = \delta_2(C) = 2$.

Remark 1. *The curve described in Proposition 1 has exactly three ordinary double points, since $\varphi(1,t) = \varphi(1,u)$ for three pairs*

$$\begin{aligned}(t,u) \;=\; & (i\sqrt{3},\ -i\sqrt{3}),\\ & \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(-3+\sqrt{3}+i\sqrt{12-6\sqrt{3}}\right),\ \frac{1}{2}\left(-3+\sqrt{3}-i\sqrt{12-6\sqrt{3}}\right)\right),\\ & \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(-3-\sqrt{3}+i\sqrt{12+6\sqrt{3}}\right),\ \frac{1}{2}\left(-3-\sqrt{3}-i\sqrt{12+6\sqrt{3}}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, this is of type IIIg in the table of [2, Theorem 2].

Proposition 2. *There exists no plane rational curve of degree four with $\delta_0(C) \geq 1$ and $\delta_2(C) \geq 1$.*

Proposition 3. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a plane rational curve of degree four. We have $\delta_0(C) \geq 2$ if and only if C is projectively equivalent to the curve which is the image of the morphism*

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2; (s:t) \mapsto (s(t+\alpha s)^3 : t(t+\beta s)^3 : t(t+s)^3), \qquad (*)$$

where $\beta(\beta-1)(\beta^2+\beta+1) \neq 0$ and $\alpha = (\beta^2+\beta+1)/3$.

Furthermore, if the differential map of φ between Zariski tangent spaces at some points is zero, then C is projectively equivalent to the curve

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2; (s:t) \mapsto (s^4 : ts^3 : t(t+s)^3).$$

In this case, $\delta(C) = \delta_0(C) = 2$.

Remark 2. *The curve given by*

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2; (s:t) \mapsto (s^4 : ts^3 : t(t+s)^3)$$

is projectively equivalent to the curve in [2, Example 1]. *Therefore, our family contains Miura's example.*

A computer (the author used MATHEMATICA [6]) verifies the following conjecture. (For singularities, we prove only when $p = 0$.)

Conjecture 1. *We have $\delta_0(C) = 3$ if and only if C is projectively equivalent to the curve given by $(*)$ with*

$$\beta^4 + 3\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 3\beta + 1 = 0.$$

In this case, the curve has three ordinary double points, i.e. it belongs to the class $IIIg$ in the table of [2, Theorem 2].

Our result implies that the following bound for rational curves due to Miura [2, Theorem 1] is sharp.

Fact 1 (Miura)**.** *If $p = 0$, $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is rational and $d - 1$ is prime, then $\delta(C) \leq 3$. Furthermore, $\delta(C) = 3$ only if $d = 4$ and C has no cusp.*

In [2, p. 291], Miura says "For the remaining cases, we can not obtain a better estimation than Theorem 1. ... Problems. (1) *Find a better estimation $\delta(C)$ for the case when C has no cusp.*" Our result gives an answer to this problem.

Remark 3. *If $Q \in \mathrm{Sing}(C)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(Q) = \{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3\}$, then $\delta(C) \leq 1$.*

Assume by contradiction that P_1, P_2 are inner Galois points. Since Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 are contained in the same fiber for $\pi_{P_i} \circ \varphi$ for $i = 1, 2$, there exists $\sigma_i \in G_{P_i}$ of order three such that $\sigma_i(Q_1) = Q_2$ ([4, III. 7.1]). Then, we have $\sigma_i(Q_2) = Q_3$ and $\sigma_i(Q_3) = Q_1$. Since σ_1, σ_2 are automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^1$, $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$. Then, the sets of ramification points are the same for π_{P_1} and π_{P_2}. This is a contradiction.

By this, $\delta(C) \leq 1$ for the type II1/2a in the table of [2, Theorem 2].

2. Proof of Propositions 1 and 2

First we note the following.

Lemma 1. *Let e be a prime number different from p and let $\theta : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a surjective morphism of degree e. The morphism θ is a (cyclic) Galois covering if and only if there exist two different points P_1, P_2 such that the ramification indices at P_1, P_2 are equal to e.*

Proof. The only-if part is well-known (see [4, III. 7.2]). We prove the if-part. Let $\theta = (f(s,t) : g(s,t))$, where f, g are homogeneous polynomials of degree e. We may assume that $P_1 = (0 : 1)$, $P_2 = (1 : 0)$, $\theta(P_1) = (0 : 1)$ and $\theta(P_2) = (1 : 0)$. Since θ is ramified at P_1 with index e, we have $f(s,t) = as^e$ for some $a \in K \setminus 0$. We also have $g(s,t) = bt^e$ for some $b \in K \setminus 0$. Therefore, we may assume that $\theta(s : t) = (s^e : t^e)$. This implies that the morphism θ is a cyclic Galois covering. □

We assume that $\delta_2(C) \geq 1$ and $\delta(C) \geq 2$. Let $P_1, P_2 \in C$ be smooth points such that P_1, P_2 are Galois, and let Q_1, Q_2 be (the images of) ramification points different from P_1, P_2 for π_{P_1}, π_{P_2} respectively. Assume that $I_{P_1}(C, T_{P_1}C) = 4$. Note that the lines $T_{P_1}C$, $\overline{P_1Q_1}$, $\overline{P_2Q_2}$ are not concurrent, where $\overline{P_1Q_1}$ is the line passing through P_1, Q_1. Therefore, we take a suitable system of coordinates so that they are defined by $X = 0$, $Y = 0$, $Z = 0$ respectively. Let $P_1 = \varphi(0 : 1)$, $Q_1 = \varphi(1 : -1)$, $P_2 = \varphi(1 : 0)$ and let $Q_2 = \varphi(1 : -\alpha)$, where $\alpha \neq 0$. Considering the intersection numbers by lines $X = 0$, $Y = 0$ $Z = 0$, the morphism φ is represented by

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2; (s : t) \mapsto (s^4 : s(s+t)^3 : t(t+\alpha s)^3).$$

We consider the projection π_{P_2}. Since $P_2 = \varphi(1 : 0) = (1 : 1 : 0)$, π_{P_2} is represented by $(Y - X : Z)$. Then,

$$\pi_{P_2} \circ \varphi = (t^2 + 3t + 3 : (t+\alpha)^3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{P_2} \circ \varphi \\ d_t(\pi_{P_2} \circ \varphi) \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} t^2+3t+3 & (t+\alpha)^3 \\ 2t+3 & 3(t+\alpha)^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ = \ & (t+\alpha)^2(t^2 - 2(\alpha-3)t - 3(\alpha-3)). \end{aligned}$$

The discriminant of $t^2 - 2(\alpha-3)t - 3(\alpha-3)$ is $4\alpha(\alpha-3)$. Using Lemma 1, we have that the point P_2 is Galois if and only if $\alpha = 3$. If $\alpha = 3$, $\varphi = (1 : (t+1)^3 : t(t+3)^3)$. Then, we have $I_{P_2}(C, T_{P_2}C) = 4$. Let $M(C)$ be the integer such that $I_R(C, T_RC) = M(C)$ for a general point $R \in C$. Then, $M(C) = 2$ and

$$\sum_{R \in C \setminus \mathrm{Sing}(C)} (I_R(C, T_RC) - 2) \leq (2+1)(2 \times 0 - 2) + 3 \times 4 = 6$$

([5, Theorem 1.5]). By this inequality, points P_1, P_2, Q_1, Q_2 are all flexes. Therefore, we have $\delta(C) = \delta_2(C) = 2$.

Note that $9 - 9(t+1)^3 + t(t+3)^3 = t^4$. This implies that the curve is projectively equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2; (s : t) \mapsto (s^4 : s(s+t)^3 : t^4).$$

Remark 4. *The if-part of Proposition 1 holds true also in $p = 2$. If $p = 2$, then the described curve is projectively equivalent to the curve given by*

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2; (s : t) \mapsto (s^4 : st^3 : t^4).$$

According to a result of Fukasawa and Hasegawa [1, Example 1]*, we have $\delta(C) = \infty$.*

3. Proof of Proposition 3

We assume that $\delta_0(C) \geq 2$. Let $P_1, P_2 \in C$ be smooth points such that P_1, P_2 are Galois with $I_{P_1}(C, T_{P_1}C) = I_{P_2}(C, T_{P_2}C) = 2$, and let Q_1, Q_2 be (the images of the) ramification points different from P_1, P_2 for π_{P_1}, π_{P_2} respectively. Further, we assume that R_2 is a ramification point $\neq P_2, Q_2$ for π_{P_2}. Note that the lines $\overline{P_1Q_1}$, $\overline{P_2Q_2}$, $\overline{P_2R_2}$ are not concurrent. Therefore, we take a suitable system of coordinates so that they are defined by $X = 0$, $Y = 0$, $Z = 0$ respectively. Let $P_1 = \varphi(0 : 1)$, $P_2 = \varphi(1 : 0)$, $Q_1 = (1 : -\alpha)$, $Q_2 = \varphi(1 : -\beta)$ and let $R_2 = (1 : -\gamma)$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in K \setminus 0$ and $\beta \neq \gamma$. Considering the intersection numbers by lines $X = 0$, $Y = 0$ $Z = 0$, the morphism φ is represented by

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2; (s : t) \mapsto (s(t + \alpha s)^3 : t(t + \beta s)^3 : t(t + \gamma s)^3).$$

We consider the projection π_{P_1}. Since $P_1 = \varphi(0 : 1) = (0 : 1 : 1)$, π_{P_1} is represented by $(X : Y - Z)$. Then,

$$\pi_{P_1} \circ \varphi = ((t + \alpha)^3 : t(t + \beta)^3 - t(t + \gamma)^3).$$

Let $g(t) = t(t + \beta)^3 - t(t + \gamma)^3 = (\beta - \gamma)t(3t^2 + 3(\beta + \gamma)t + (\beta^2 + \beta\gamma + \gamma^2))$. Note that the rational map $\pi_{P_1} \circ \varphi$ has degree less than three if and only if $3\alpha^2 - 3(\beta + \gamma)\alpha + (\beta^2 + \beta\gamma + \gamma^2) = 0$. We have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \pi_{P_1} \circ \varphi \\ d_t(\pi_{P_1} \circ \varphi) \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} (t + \alpha)^3 & g \\ 3(t + \alpha)^2 & g' \end{pmatrix} = (t + \alpha)^2((t + \alpha)g' - 3g),$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\beta - \gamma}((t+\alpha)g' - 3g) = (9\alpha - 3(\beta+\gamma))t^2 + (6(\beta+\gamma)\alpha - 2(\beta^2+\beta\gamma+\gamma^2))t + (\beta^2+\beta\gamma+\gamma^2)\alpha$$

The discriminant is

$$4\{9\beta\gamma\alpha^2 - 3(\beta + \gamma)(\beta^2 + \beta\gamma + \gamma^2)\alpha + (\beta^2 + \beta\gamma + \gamma^2)^2\}.$$

Using Lemma 1, we have that the point P_1 is Galois if and only if

$$\alpha = \frac{\beta^2 + \beta\gamma + \gamma^2}{3\beta} \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha = \frac{\beta^2 + \beta\gamma + \gamma^2}{3\gamma}.$$

If the differential of φ is zero at some point, then $\alpha = \beta$ or $\alpha = \gamma$. Then, φ is represented by

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^2; (s : t) \mapsto (s^4 : st^3 : t(t + s)^3).$$

By taking a suitable system of coordinates, we may assume that $\alpha = (\beta^2+\beta\gamma+\gamma^2)/3\gamma$ and $\gamma = 1$. Since $\alpha \neq 0$, we have $\beta^2+\beta+1 \neq 0$. The birationality of φ is derived from the condition $3\alpha^2 - 3(\beta+1)\alpha + (\beta^2+\beta+1) \neq 0$.

Remark 5. *The if-part of Proposition 3 holds true also in $p = 2$. If $p = 2$, then $\alpha = \beta^2+\beta+1$ and the morphism $\pi_{P_1} \circ \varphi$ is a Galois covering, since*

$$(t+\alpha)^3 + \alpha g(t) = (\beta t + \alpha)^3.$$

4. Computer-aided proof of Conjecture 1

We give the computer-aided proof of Conjecture 1. Let C be the plane curve given by $(*)$ as in Proposition 3.

(1) We compute the Hessian matrix H and its determinant:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} (t+\alpha)^3 & t(t+\beta)^3 & t(t+1)^3 \\ ((t+\alpha)^3)_t & (t(t+\beta)^3)_t & (t(t+1)^3)_t \\ ((t+\alpha)^3)_{tt} & (t(t+\beta)^3)_{tt} & (t(t+1)^3)_{tt} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have two new flexes given by

$$\beta^3+\beta^2+\beta+2\beta^3 t+\beta^2 t+\beta t+2t+3\beta t^2 = 0,$$

other than $-1, -\alpha, -\beta, -\frac{\beta^2+\beta+1}{3\beta}$. Let t_1, t_2 be the solutions of the equation.

(2) We compute the determinant

$$T(x,y,z,t) = \det \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \\ (t+\alpha)^3 & t(t+\beta)^3 & t(t+1)^3 \\ ((t+\alpha)^3)_t & (t(t+\beta)^3)_t & (t(t+1)^3)_t \end{pmatrix},$$

since the linear homogeneous polynomial $T_1 = T(x,y,z,t_1)$ (resp. $T_2 = T(x,y,z,t_2)$) gives the tangent line at $t = t_1$ (resp. $t = t_2$).

(3) We compute the pull-backs $\varphi^* T_1 = T(\varphi(t), t_1)$ and $\varphi^* T_2 = T(\varphi(t), t_2)$.

(4) We solve the equation $\varphi^* T_1 = \varphi^* T_2 = 0$ on t, under the assumption that

$$\beta(\beta^3-1) \neq 0, \det H \neq 0.$$

(5) Computer gives the following solutions:

$$\beta^4+3\beta^3+\beta^2+3\beta+1 = 0 \text{ and } t = \frac{\beta^3+2\beta^2-\beta+1}{3},$$

or $\beta = -2, -\frac{1}{2}$. We can exclude the case $\beta = -2, -\frac{1}{2}$ by the condition $\alpha \neq 1, \frac{\beta^2+\beta+1}{3\beta} \neq \beta$.

Next, we consider singularities when $p = 0$. A computer gives 24 solutions u:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 1 + u + 12u^2 + 31u^3 + 61u^4 + 33u^5 + 36u^6 - 27u^7 + 9u^8 \\ 0 &= 1 - 8u + 27u^2 + 40u^3 + 61u^4 + 48u^5 + 81u^6 + 18u^7 + 9u^8 \\ 0 &= 1 + 10u + 39u^2 - 107u^3 + 16u^4 + 213u^5 + 195u^6 + 63u^7 + 9u^8 \end{aligned}$$

for equations

$$\frac{(t+\alpha)^3}{t(t+1)^3} = \frac{(u+\alpha)^3}{u(u+1)^3},\ \frac{(t+\beta)^3}{(t+1)^3} = \frac{(u+\beta)^3}{(u+1)^3},\ \beta^4 + 3\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 3\beta + 1 = 0$$

under the assumption $t \neq u$. This shows that the curve has three ordinary double points (for each β).

5. Confirmation of the if-part of Conjecture 1

Let

$$\beta^4 + 3\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 3\beta + 1 = 0 \text{ and } u = \frac{\beta^3 + 2\beta^2 - \beta + 1}{3}.$$

We can confirm that $\varphi(1 : u)$ is Galois, by a computer, as follows.

(1) The equation

$$\beta^3 + \beta^2 + \beta + 2\beta^3 t + \beta^2 t + \beta t + 2t + 3\beta t^2 = 0$$

has two solutions

$$t_1 = -\frac{1 - \beta + 2\beta^2 + \beta^3}{3\beta}, t_2 = -\frac{1 + 2\beta - \beta^2 + \beta^3}{3\beta}.$$

(2) We compute the point $P = (x, y, z) = \varphi(1, u)$, the projection

$$\pi_P \circ \varphi = (z(t+\alpha)^3 - xt(t+1)^3, zt(t+\beta)^3 - yt(t+1)^3),$$

and the determinant of the matrix $D = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_P \circ \varphi \\ d_t(\pi_P \circ \varphi) \end{pmatrix}$.

(3) The polynomial $\det D$ has a factor $g(t)$ of degree four on t with $g(u) \neq 0$.

(4) We have $g(t_1) = g(t_2) = 0$. This implies that $\pi_P \circ \varphi$ is ramified at $t = t_1, t_2$.

(5) Since points $\varphi(1 : t_1), \varphi(1 : t_2)$ are flexes, by Lemma 1, $\varphi(1 : u)$ is Galois.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Professor Tsuyoshi Miezaki for helpful advice.

References

[1] S. Fukasawa and T. Hasegawa, Singular plane curves with infinitely many Galois points, J. Algebra **323** (2010), 10–13.

[2] K. Miura, Galois points on singular plane quartic curves, J. Algebra **287** (2005), 283–293.

[3] K. Miura and H. Yoshihara, Field theory for function fields of plane quartic curves, J. Algebra **226** (2000), 283–294.

[4] H. Stichtenoth, *Algebraic function fields and codes*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1993).

[5] K. O. Stöhr and J. F. Voloch, Weierstrass points and curves over finite fields, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **52** (1986), 1–19.

[6] Wolfram Research, Inc., Mathematica, Version 10.1, Champaign, IL (2015).

[7] H. Yoshihara, Function field theory of plane curves by dual curves, J. Algebra **239** (2001), 340–355.

[8] H. Yoshihara and S. Fukasawa, List of problems, available at:
http://hyoshihara.web.fc2.com/openquestion.html

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Yamagata University, Kojirakawa-machi 1-4-12, Yamagata 990-8560, Japan

E-mail address: s.fukasawa@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp